

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,922	•	02/14/2001	Kari Einamo	PM 277084	1058	
909	7590	01/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ΓHROP, LLP	СНО,	CHO, UN C		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2687		
				DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	09/762,922	EINAMO, KARI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Un C Cho	2687					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation ap	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	October 2004.						
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ (8	Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
<i>о)</i> Ш	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
יווי	The dath of declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		• •					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures 		received in this National	Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	received.					
Attachmen	• •							
1) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/7/2004 has been entered.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 7 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 1, line 10 of the claim recites "... sending the tracer ..." it should be "... sending to the tracer ..." instead.

Regarding claim 7, line 11 of the claim recites "... sending the tracer ..." it should be "... sending to the tracer ..." instead.

Regarding claim 10, line 11 of the claim recites "... sending the tracer ..." it should be "... sending to the tracer ..." instead.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanmugam (US 5,734,977) in view of Clarke et al. (US 5,793,752).

Regarding claim 1, Sanmugam discloses a method of tracing signaling messages of a subscriber in a mobile communication system which comprises functional entities (MSC, HLR, VLR) for subscriber mobility management, the method comprising transmitting and receiving signaling messages in a functional entity (messages being received and transmitted between network elements), receiving a trace command in said functional entity (network element receiving tracing command), the command indicating the tracer (element initiating the tracing command) and identifying at least one subscriber whose signaling messages are to be traced (Sanmugam, Col. 24, line 55 through Col. 26, line 39).

However, Sanmugam does not specifically disclose starting tracing which comprises sending the tracer a copy of a signaling message in response to the reception or transmission of a signaling message related to the subscriber to be traced. In an analogous art, Clarke discloses start tracing (start monitoring) which comprises sending a copy of the tracing message to the memory address in

Art Unit: 2687

response to the reception or transmission of a signaling message related to the subscriber to be traced (monitoring probes are installed between network elements to keep track of functionality of network elements and the monitoring probes, when a message is received from central station, maintains a record for holding functionality related information determined for the node concerned to later report back to the central station, Clarke, Col. 9, lines 9 – 50 and Col. 10, lines 47 – 63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Clarke to the system of Sanmugam in order to monitor at least one said link to identify from at least one message associated with a particular said node, a predetermined set of message characteristics sufficient to identify a said type of node functionality possessed by said particular node and also providing an output associating the type of functionality identified by said set of characteristics with said particular node.

Regarding claim 2, Sanmugam in view of Clarke as applied to claim 1 above discloses that the trace command also indicates the type of the signaling message to be traced, and the copy of the signaling message is sent only if the signaling message is of the type to be traced (Sanmugam, Col. 25, lines 9-22 and Col. 26, lines 25-39).

Regarding claim 3, Sanmugam in view of Clarke as applied to claim 1 above discloses that tracing starts from the start message of a dialogue related to the subscriber to be traced (Sanmugam, Col. 25, lines 51 – 57).

Regarding claim 4, Sanmugam in view of Clarke as applied to claim 3 above discloses that tracing of the subscriber's signaling message stops in response to the fact that the dialogue, which started tracing ends (Sanmugam, Col. 26, line 57 through Col. 27, line 11).

Regarding claim 5, Sanmugam in view of Clarke as applied to claim 1 above discloses receiving a stop command of tracing in the entity, the command indicating the subscriber whose signaling message tracing is to be stopped and stopping tracing of the signaling messages related to said subscriber (Sanmugam, Col. 25, lines 63 - 67).

Regarding claim 6, Sanmugam in view of Clarke as applied to claim 1 above discloses that the signaling messages of the MAP protocol are traced (Clarke, Col. 5, line 25 through Col. 6, line 15 and Col. 11, lines 23 – 29).

Regarding claim 7, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 8, Sanmugam in view of Clarke discloses that the trace command also indicates the type of the signaling message to be traced, and the network element is arranged to copy the signaling message related to the subscriber to be traced if the signaling message is of the type to be traced (monitoring probes are installed between network elements to keep track of functionality of network elements and the monitoring probes, when a message is received from central station, maintains a record for holding functionality related

information determined for the node concerned to later report back to the central station, Clarke, Col. 9, lines 9 - 50 and Col. 10, lines 47 - 63).

Regarding claim 9, Sanmugam in view of Clarke discloses signaling messages to be traced are messages of the MAP protocol Clarke, Col. 5, line 25 through Col. 6, line 15 and Col. 11, lines 23 – 29), and the network element is arranged to start sending copies of the signaling messages related to the subscriber (monitoring probes send feedback to the central station, Clarke, Col. 9, lines 9 – 25) in response to the dialogue of the MAP protocol which starts after the trace command and is related to the subscriber to be traced (Sanmugam, Col. 24, line 55 through Col. 26, line 39

Regarding claim 10, Sanmugam in view of Clarke discloses a network element of a mobile communication system which receives and transmits signaling messages to manage subscriber mobility, the network element comprising reception means for receiving a trace command, which indicates the traces and identifies at least one subscriber whose signaling messages are to be traced, separation means for separating the signaling messages of the subscriber to be traced from other signaling messages (Sanmugam, Col. 26, lines 1 – 25), and means for sending the tracer copies of the signaling messages related to the subscriber to be traced (monitoring probes are installed between network elements to keep track of functionality of network elements and the monitoring probes, when a message is received from central station, maintains a record for holding functionality related information determined for the node

concerned to later report back to the central station, Clarke, Col. 9, lines 9-50 and Col. 10, lines 47-63).

Regarding claim 11, Sanmugam in view of Clarke as applied to claim 10 above discloses that the trace command also indicates the type of the dialogue to be traced and the separation means are arranged to separate the signaling messages that belong to the dialogue of the type to be traced from the signaling messages of the subscriber to be traced (Sanmugam, Col. 26, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 12, Sanmugam in view of Clarke as applied to claim 10 discloses comparing an MAP entity (Clarke, Col. 5, line 25 through Col. 6, line 15 and Col. 11, lines 23 – 29), which is responsive to the reception means and comprises separation means and means for sending the copies (Clarke, Col. 9, lines 9 – 50 and Col. 10, lines 47 – 63).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lawson-Jenkins et al. (US 6,157,833) discloses a method for reducing status reporting in wireless communication systems.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,922 Page 8

Art Unit: 2687

Abbadessa (US 6,088,587) discloses network discovery method and apparatus for cellular mobile radio networks.

Wong et al. (US 6,137,876) discloses a network call trace method and system enables a call path route to be traced in a telecommunications network.

Drum et al. (US 6,456,845) discloses method and system for observing analyzing and correlating multi-protocol signaling message traffic in a mobile telecommunications network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un C Cho whose telephone number is (703) 305-8725. The examiner can normally be reached on M ~ F 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (703) 306-3016. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Un C Cho Examiner

1/6/0500

Art Unit 2687